## <sup>13</sup>C NMR SPECTRA OF ALLENES

R. Steur, J.P.C.M. van Dongen, M.J.A. de Bie and W. Drenth Laboratory for Organic Chemistry of the University, Croesestraat 79, Utrecht, the Netherlands. J.W. de Haan and L.J.M. van de Ven Department of Instrumental Analysis, Technical University, Eindhoven, the Netherlands.
(Received in UK 19 July 1971; accepted for publication 28 July 1971)

Allenes are very interesting compounds in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy because of the extremely low field shift of the central allenic carbon reported by Friedel *et al.*<sup>1</sup> ( $\delta^{13}C = -15$  ppm relative to  $CS_2$ ). As far as we know no more <sup>13</sup>C NMR data on allenes have been reported.

With an independent MO theory of diamagnetism Pople<sup>2</sup> calculated  $\delta^{13}c = +35 \text{ ppm}$ relative to CS<sub>2</sub> for the central carbon atom. Ditchfield *et al.*<sup>3</sup> using *ab initio* MO theory with a basis of contracted Gaussian functions calculated  $\delta^{13}c = +5.8 \text{ ppm}$  from CS<sub>2</sub>.

In view of the obvious lack of <sup>13</sup>C NMR data on allenes and the unique applicability of this technique to the study of charge distribution in these otherwise rather "inaccessible" molecules, we found it worthwhile to communicate some of our preliminary results.

Proton noise decoupled  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra were measured at 25.16 MHz on neat liquids (unless stated otherwise) at probe temperature on Varian HA-100 and XL-100/15 NMR spectrometers. Results are given in tables I and II.

For a given alkyl substituent there is a fair linear relationship between the number of these substituents and  $\delta C_{\beta}$  of the allenes. Considered as a constitutive property<sup>4</sup>, a methyl group contributes +3.3 ppm to  $C_{\beta}$  while an ethyl group contributes +4.8 ppm. The group contribution of a S-alkyl substituent is 7 ± 2 ppm. To estimate the group contributions of other substituents more allenes are being measured.

	substituents				13 <sub>C</sub> chemica	l shifts (ppm) <sup>3</sup>
R <sub>1</sub> R <sub>2</sub>	R3	R4	δCα	δCβ	δCγ	H 9
H H	сн <sub>5</sub>	сн <sub>5</sub>	121.6 b	-13.6 b	100.3 b	174.2(CH <sub>3</sub> )
CH <sub>3</sub> H CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	СH СH,	н СН,	108.3	-13.4 <sup>-</sup> - 6.5	108.3 <sup>-</sup> 101.1	173.0(cH_)
с <sub>о</sub> щ н	CoH5	СН	100.5	-10.3	100.5	171.6(CH2) 180.5(CH2)
∎ с∎ <sub>3</sub>	BCH <sub>3</sub>	н	103.4 <sup>c</sup>	- 8.3 <sup>c</sup>	100.6 <sup>0</sup>	178.8(CH <sub>2</sub> ) 178.5(SCH <sub>2</sub> )
. н н	сн <sub>3</sub>	SCH <sub>3</sub>	113.6	- 9.9	93.8	174.3(CH <sub>2</sub> ) 178.0(SCH <sub>2</sub> )
H	C2H5	scH <sub>3</sub>	111.5°	- 9.3°	86.5 <sup>°</sup>	<b>X</b>
н	i-c <sub>3</sub> H7	scn <sub>3</sub>	111.9	- 8.2	81.5	171.4(CH <sub>3</sub> ) 161.0(CH) 178.2(SCH <sub>3</sub> )
н	CH <sub>5</sub>	sc <sub>2</sub> H5	114.7	-10.3	95.8	173.8(CH <sub>3</sub> ) 166.9(CH <sub>9</sub> ) 178.9(CH <sub>3</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub> S)
H H	$i-c_3H_7$	sc <sub>2</sub> H5	112.9	- 8.2	83.7	171.5(СН <sub>3</sub> ) 161.0(СН) 167.0(СН <sub>3</sub> )
с <sub>6</sub> н <sub>5</sub> с <sub>6</sub> н	5 c <sup>H</sup> 5	c <sub>6</sub> <sup>H</sup> 5	80.1 <sup>d</sup>	-15.8 <sup>d</sup>	80.1 <sup>đ</sup>	$56.3(a_1)$ $65.3(c_p)$ $64.3$ , $64.4(c_o, c_m)$

3308

No. 36

ŧ

1

-----

onosubstituted	allenes	and	COI	responding	alkenes.
				X−C <sub>α</sub> H=	° <sub>β</sub> <sup>H</sup> 2
	¥3			20	 7 4

Table II. <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts<sup>a</sup> of mo

	A-0,	απ=υβ=υγπ	2		α	<u>π-υαμ-υβμ</u> 2	
substituent X	δCα	δCβ	δCγ	δX	δCα	δC <sub>β</sub>	
	118.9 <sup>b</sup>	-19.8 <sup>b</sup>	118.9 <sup>b</sup>		70.4°	70.4 <sup>°</sup>	
CHz	109.3 <sup>a</sup>	-16.7 <sup>d</sup>	119.6 <sup>d</sup>		57•5°	77.8°	
C H E	102.0 <sup>d</sup>	-15.2 <sup>d</sup>	118.4 <sup>d</sup>		53.0°	80.4 <sup>°</sup>	
SCH	103.7	-12.4	112.4	178.7	59•4 <sup>e</sup>	84.8 <sup>°</sup>	
SC,H_	105.2	-13.2	112.8				
OCH	70.6	- 8.3	103.4	138.5	40.5 <sup>f</sup>	109.6 <sup>f</sup>	
oc, H <sub>5</sub>	72.0	- 8.6	104.1	129.6(CH <sub>2</sub> ) 179.4(CH <sub>3</sub>	) 39.7 <sup>f</sup>	108.5 <sup>f</sup>	
C <sub>A</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	99.2	-16.6	115.0	- /	54•9 <sup>e</sup>	79.1 <sup>0</sup>	
Br	121.0	-13.9	109.9		78.1 <sup>°</sup>	71.6°	
COOH	105.6 <sup>6</sup>	-24.0 <sup>6</sup>	113 <b>.7<sup>6</sup></b>	21 <b>.4<sup>8</sup></b>	64.0 <sup>°</sup>	60.0°	
CN	113.2	-25.0	126.5	80.2	85.5°	55•4°	
сн <sub>2</sub> scн <sub>3</sub>	105.1	-16.8	117.6	160.4(СН <sub>2</sub> ) 178.9(СН <sub>3</sub>	)		

a: see Table I note <sup>a</sup>. b: 40% <sup>v</sup>/v solution  $CCl_4/acetone-d_6$  2:1, at -50°C. c: ref. 5. d: ref. 1. e: see Table I note <sup>C</sup>. f: ref. 6. g: saturated solution in CHCl<sub>2</sub>.



Symmetry requires that no interaction occurs between the two perpendicular *n*-systems in allenes. In fact these m-systems are not isolated between  $C_{\alpha}$  and  $C_{\beta}$  or  $C_{\beta}$  and  $C_{\gamma},$ but they extend into the  $C_{\gamma}H_{\gamma}$  or  $C_{\alpha}HX$  fragment respectively, because these fragments contain orbitals which have the correct  $\pi$ -symmetry for interaction. However, if we neglect for the moment this extension of the  $\pi\text{-systems}$ , we may compare the  $\,\delta C_{\,\beta}\,$  of monosubstituted allenes with the  $\delta C_B$  of the corresponding vinyl compounds (Table II, Fig. 1). Although Fig. 1 shows a quite appreciable scatter, the linear correlation

indicates a parallelism in these chemical shifts, but, in view of the slope of about 0.35, the vinyl C -atom is much more sensitive to the substituent effect than the allenic  $C_{\beta}$  -atom is. Clearly the termination of both  $\pi$ -systems at  $C_{\beta}$  is an oversimplification.



When, for each allenic compound of Table II,  $\delta C_{\beta}$  is plotted against  $\delta C_{\gamma}$ , a linear relation appears (Fig. 2). The slope of approximately -0.7 reflects the presence of a reverse relation between  $\delta C_{\beta}$  and  $\delta C_{\gamma}$  in these compounds. The following explanation may account for these observations. An electron donation from substituent X into the "extended"  $C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}C_{\gamma}HH$   $\pi$ -system, resulting in an increased shielding at  $C_{\beta}$ , leaves the substituent X somewhat electron deficient. This deficit may result in a "back donation" to substituent X from  $C_{\gamma}$  and  $C_{\beta}$ , via the "extended"  $C_{\gamma}C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}HX$   $\pi$ -system. Hence an electron donation into the  $C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}fragment$ , similar to the donation in the vinyl compounds, is accompanied by an electron withdrawal from the  $C_{\beta}C_{\gamma}$  part of the molecule and vice versa.

We are extending this work to a larger series of substituted allenes and to cumulenes with three to five double bonds.

Acknowledgement. We are indebted to the referee for an useful suggestion.

## References

1. R.A. Friedel, H.L. Retcofsky, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 85, 1300 (1963).

2. J.A. Pople, Mol. Phys., 7, 301 (1964).

3. R. Ditchfield, D.P. Miller, J.A. Pople, Chem. Phys. Lett., <u>6</u>, 573 (1970).

4. G.B. Savitsky, K. Namikawa, J. Phys. Chem., <u>68</u>, 1956 (1964).

5. G.B. Savitsky, P.D. Ellis, K. Namikawa, G.E. Maciel, J. Chem. Phys., <u>49</u>, 2395 (1968).

6. K. Hatada, K. Nagata, H. Yuki, Bull. Chem. Soc., Jap., <u>43</u>, 3195 (1970).